

E-xcellence Next: Local seminars

Citation for published version (APA):

Wagemans, L., & Boon, J. (2013). *E-xcellence Next: Local seminars*.

Document status and date:

Published: 16/01/2013

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

<https://www.ou.nl/taverne-agreement>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

pure-support@ou.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Downloaded from <https://research.ou.nl/> on date: 06 Oct. 2020

Open Universiteit
www.ou.nl



E-xcellence Next: Local seminars

Overview, Evaluation & Lessons learned

Paphos, 26 September 2012

Jo Boon, Leo Wagemans

CELSTEC, Open University in the Netherlands

Centre for Learning Sciences and Technologies
celstec.org



Contents

- Overview Local seminars
- Evaluation of local seminars by local teams
- Lessons learned



Russia: Moscow, 6-7 June 2011

- University: MESI
- E-xcellence team: Jo Boon; Leo Wagemans
- Local coordinator: Irina Smirnova
- Programs:
 - Institute of Management: Management (Bachelor program; Master program)
 - Institute of Computer Technologies: Applied informatics (Bachelor program; Master program)
 - Institute of Law and Humanities: Linguistics (Bachelor program)
 - Institute of Economics and Finance: Economics (Bachelor program)
- VLE:
 - Virtual Campus. The Virtual Campus is designed with the technology of Sharepoint
- National QA Agency:
 - National Center of Public Accreditation (NCPA)



Lithuania: Kaunas, 26-27 October 2011

- University: Kaunas University of Technology
- E-xcellence team: Pekka Kess; Karen Kear
- Local coordinator: Vilma Rūta Mušankovienė
- Program:
Master's degree (MSc.) in Information Technologies of Distance Education
- VLE:
Moodle and LearningSpace
- National QA Agency:
Centre for Quality Assessment in Higher Education (CQAHE)



Poland: Krakow, 14-15 December 2011

- University: Akademia Górniczo-Hutnicza (AGH)
University of Science and Technology
- E-xcellence team: Jo Boon; Leo Wagemans
- Local coordinator: Karolina Grodecka
- Program:
No specific program; Roadmap evaluation is done on the basis of the university by Centre of e-Learning and Faculty of Management
- VLE:
Moodle LMS
OpenMeetings (videoconferencing)
Mahara (e-portfolio)
Redmine (project management)
- National QA Agency:
Quality Assurance Agency for Technical Universities



Cyprus: Nicosia, 6-7 March 2012

- University: Open University of Cyprus
- E-xcellence team: Karen Lear; George Ubachs; Andre Vyt
- Local coordinator: Erato-Ioanna Sarri
- Program:
 - MA in Educational Studies
 - BA in Studies in Hellenic Culture
 - OUC General
- VLE:
 - Eclass with a useful suite of tools: Moodle; Elluminate; video; anti-plagiarism software
- National QA Agency:
 - Not mentioned in the Report



Latvia: Riga, 19-20 March 2012

- University: Riga Technical University
- E-xcellence team: Jon Rosewell; Pekka Kess
- Local coordinator: Ilmars Slaidins
- Program:
Professional Master Program 'Innovations and Entrepreneurship'
- VLE:
Moodle
- National QA Agency:
Higher Education Quality Evaluation Centre (HEQEC)



Portugal: Lisbon, 26-27 April 2012

- University: Universidade Aberta (UAb)
- E-xcellence team: Jo Boon; Leo Wagemans
- Local coordinator: João Caetano
- Program:
 - Master on E-Learning Pedagogy (MPEL)
 - Master on Administration and Educational Management (MAGE)
- VLE:
 - Moodle with variety of tools to both students and tutors
 - Makara (Digital Portfolio)
 - Wiki for sharing information
- National QA Agency:
 - Portuguese National Accreditation Agency



Greece: Patras, 16-17 May 2012

- University: Hellenic Open University
- E-xcellence team: Jon Rosewell; Keith Williams
- Local coordinator: Christos Katsanos
- Program:
Masters in Business Administration
- VLE:
Moodle
- National QA Agency:
Hellenic Quality Assurance Agency (HQAA)



Evaluation of the E-xcellence project

- Feedback on the seminars and the results achieved
- Small survey (14 questions) sent on 2th of August 2012
- Reflections also necessary for the Commission
- 9 reactions



Satisfaction of local partners (n=9)

	++	+	-	--
Overall, how satisfied were you with the local seminar?	7	2		
How satisfied were you with the communication and information provided before the local seminar?	8	1		
How satisfied were you with the materials provided?	5	4		
How satisfied were you with the organization of the local seminar?	6	3		



What is your opinion about the length of the local seminar?

- Too long 0
- Just right 7
- Too short 2



What aspects did you like the most about the seminar?

- Open discussion, sharing views and experiences
- Opportunity to exchange experiences between local team and experts from other countries
- Sharing experience, revealing strengths and weaknesses, adjusting an improvement plan
- E-xcellence tool is extremely useful for quality improvement
- Commitment of participants: managers, teachers, students, representative of National accreditation agency
- Discussions that are relevant for future development
- It was an opportunity to revise our master degree program
- The process of completing the Quick Scan



What aspects did you like the least about the seminar?

- Lack of time to go deeply into all 33 benchmarks
- That the seminar was limited to only a small number of programs, not reaching enough people
- We expected to involve more teachers and more students
- Completing the Quick Scan focused on a specific program
- Recommendations might have been more detailed



Do you think that the workshop objectives have been met?

- Yes 8
- Mostly yes 1
- No 0



Has the project had any impact in your organisation so far?

- Discussion on some important issues was initiated, involving stakeholders not involved before
- We have identified issues for further e-learning development
- The participation in the project has already led to adjustments of the QA system of the university
- It has opened a dialogue between different stakeholders
- We are working on improvement along the road map
- Definitely, QA became more important
- Since the project teachers see how to improve their courses
- Too early for an official impact, but it enhances fruitful discussions
- E-xcellence framework is very useful in the process of designing an own QA system
- Not yet, some changes require decision making at the central level



In the light of what you have experienced and learned from the project so far, will you/your organisation contribute to further dissemination and sustainability of the project?

- Yes 9
- No 0



Will you use the E-xcellence benchmarks in future assessments?

- Yes 7
- No 1
- It is not our decision, we will propose and promote the use to the National Accreditation Agency



Please provide examples of such actions that you aim to undertake

- Dissemination to other programs and partners
- Improve existing quality tools and processes
- Implement better integration of online services
- Extend and improve communication using e-classroom tools
- Course development must be supported in a centralised way
- Workload of the staff is on the agenda
- More training of tutors
- Involvement of students in the assessment of their papers
- Encouragement of study groups and group projects



What role could QA agencies play in relation to QA in e-learning?

- Create an independent assessment of distance learning programmes
- They should regard e-learning as an indispensable element of modern education
- They should prepare recommendation in QA and e-learning
- Provide a more detailed implementation plan
- They should both play a constructive and an accreditive role in the development of a methodology to assess quality in e-learning
- Organise workshops on QA benchmarks



Further recommendations and suggestions

- Make the Quick Scan more apt to the level of programs or courses
- Assure sustainability of the project
- Instruct national assessors
- Strengthening and commitments of the projects' main representatives in order to build relationships with National and European authorities



What have we learned? (1)

- Despite a clear planning, all Local seminars are different
- A positive experience: impressed by the work that was done in preparing the Quick Scan and the way the results were presented by the different participants
- The results of the Quick Scan show a lot of positive points and also an honest indication of weak points in e-learning
- It is important that self-evaluation includes consultation among a wide group of stakeholders, including teachers, students and education managers. Different people will have different views, so open discussion is a key part of the self-evaluation process.
- The systematic structure of the E-xcellence tool is a strong point
- Amount of work is mostly underestimated



What have we learned? (2)

- Wide range of the use of the benchmarks during the Local seminar: from a discussion about all the benchmarks where improvement was needed to a sample of three or four benchmarks
- A moment of reflection facilitated by external reviewers
- It is not an assessment, therefore more trust
- On-site vs At-a-distance requires different planning and preparation: agenda, chairing, technique
- Several Local seminars showed that the workload of the staff and the students in e-learning is very high
- The adoption of the framework by the National Agencies and their role stays unclear: on the one hand they seem to approve the initiatives, on the other hand they have their reservations
- For the review teams it was a pleasant atmosphere and useful experiences



Thank you for your attention

CELSTEC
celstec.org

