

Extended Teams in vocational education: Slow starters but worth the wait

Citation for published version (APA):

Mazereeuw, M., McKenney, S., & Wopereis, I. (2015). *Extended Teams in vocational education: Slow starters but worth the wait*. Paper presented at EAPRIL 2015 Conference, Bel-Val, Luxembourg.

Document status and date:

Published: 25/11/2015

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Document license:

CC BY-NC-ND

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

<https://www.ou.nl/taverne-agreement>

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:


pure-support@ou.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Downloaded from <https://research.ou.nl/> on date: 16 Feb. 2025

Open Universiteit
www.ou.nl





Extended Teams in vocational education: Slow starters but worth the wait

Marco Mazereeuw (NHL)
Susan McKenney (UT/OU)
Iwan Wopereis (OU)

NHL
UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES

NHL University of Applied Sciences. Broadening your perspective.

This research is supported by



Het Platform
Beroepsonderwijs
Grant number: A11217

UNIVERSITY OF TWENTE.

Open Universiteit
www.ou.nl 

NHL Research and business
HOGESCHOOL

Introduction

- Connections between student workplace learning and formal education is a source of frustrations
- Multiple causes and often related to communication problems
- Extended Teams (ETs) are seen as a potential solution for the connection problems (Nieuwenhuis, Nijman, Kat-De Jong, De Ries, & Van Vijfeijken, 2011)
- ET: vocational teachers and workplace supervisors share responsibility for the quality of education and thus cross boundaries

This study

- Searches for knowledge about the *performance* of ETs on a *micro-level*
- Followed *five ETs* during their *three years of collaboration*
- Focuses on changes over time:
 - *How the teams perform*
 - *How individual team members are influenced*
- Contributes to knowledge on how such teams can be *supported* in and outside their organisations

Theoretical framework

Team development

- In team relationships, collaboration and shared views
 - Communities of practice (Brouwer et al., 2012; Wenger, 1998; also see Wenger-Trayner et al, 2015)


Professional development

- In knowledge, beliefs, attitudes and activities of its members
 - Professional growth (Clarke & Hollingsworth, 2002; also see Beverborg, Slegers & Van Veen, 2015)

Dimensions of team development

(Brouwer et al., 2012)

- Relationships and interactions (***mutual engagement***)
 - Identifying with team members and activities;
 - Allowing multiple perspectives;
 - Sharing responsibilities;
 - Realising social ties;
- Repertoire in collaboration (***shared repertoire***)
 - Building on each others contributions;
 - Regulating interactions;
 - Role taking and the degree of flexibility;
- Collective understanding (***joint enterprise***)
 - Agreement about the domain and objectives;
 - Having a common ground in concepts;
 - Using agreed upon / each others knowledge.



Research question

How do ETs and their members function during the three years of cooperation?

- In which dimensions of team functioning do ETs exhibit changes during the three years of cooperation?
- What is the nature of these changes?

- In which domains of professional growth do team members exhibit individual professional growth during the three years of cooperation?
- What is the nature of these changes?

Selection and procedures


- Multiple case studies (Yin, 2009):

Domain	Secondary Vocational Education (SVE)	Higher Vocational Education (HVE)
Education	Edu-SVE	Edu-HVE
Economics	Eco-SVE	Eco-HVE
Technology	Tec-SVE	Tec-HVE

- Ten meetings in the project (4, 3, 3)
 - ETs shaped their educational interventions and evaluation through workshops and team discussions
 - Led by trainers / researchers of the project

Instruments

	Dimensions of team functioning	Domains of professional growth
Questionnaire (<i>Q</i>)		✓
Interview with individual team members (<i>II</i>)	✓	✓
Focus group interview with each team (<i>FI</i>)	✓	
Team conversations during meetings (<i>TC</i>)	✓	



Analysis team functioning

- Primary codes: assigning dimensions of team functioning to meaningful units
- Clustering units per code, per team, per year
- Axial coding: assigning indicators to units
- Valuing per indicator of the dimensions (↓→↑)
- Searching for patterns in changes

Analysis professional growth

- Primary codes: assigning domains and relationships (reflection / enactment)
- Clustering units per code, per team member, per year
- Axial coding: searching for changes in answers to similar questions
- Searching for patterns in changes of different team members

Results

- Team functioning: changes in
 - *Mutual engagement* (relationships and interactions)
 - *Shared repertoire* (in collaboration)
 - *Joint enterprise* (collective understanding)
- Professional growth: changes in enactment and reflection on
 - *External domain*
 - *Personal domain*
 - *Domain of practice*
 - *Domain of consequence*

Mutual engagement

	Identifying with team	Allowing multiple perspectives	Sharing responsibilities	Realising social ties
1	↑↓↑	↓↓↓	↓↓↓	↓↓→
2	↓→→	↑↑↑	↓→↑	→↑↑
3	→↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑↑
4	↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↑↑
5	→↑↑	→↑↑	→↑↑	→↑↑

- Overall development in mutual engagement is visible
- Especially 'allowing multiple perspectives' displays growth
- Perspectives appear to be context-bound (school-workplace)

Shared repertoire



	Building on contributions	Regulating interactions	(Flexible) role taking
1	↓↓↑	↑→↑	↑→↑
2	↑↑↑	↑↑↑	↑↓→
3	↓↑↑	→→↑	↑↓↓
4	↑↑↑	→→↑	↑↑↑
5	→↑↑	→→→	→↑↑



- Overall little development in a shared repertoire
- The regulation of interactions barely develops
- Besides this, regulations strongly depends on the project organisation
- Responsibility of the regulation seems to depend on the **context** (school-workplace)


Joint enterprise

	Agreement on domain and objectives	Common ground in Concept	Agreement on the use of knowledge
1	↓→↑	↓↑↑	↓↑↑
2	→↑↑	→↑↑	↑→↑
3	→→↑	↓↓↑	↑↑↑
4	→→↑	↑↑↑	↑↑↑
5	→↑↑	→→↑	↑↑↑

- Overall development in joint enterprise is visible
- Specifically agreement on domain and objectives develops slowly but sustained
- Particularly practical and context specific concepts and knowledge are being shared







Conclusions team development

- Overall development in **mutual engagement** is visible
- Especially 'allowing multiple perspectives' displays growth
- Perspectives appear to be context-bound

- Overall little development in a **shared repertoire**
- The regulation of interactions develops barely .
- Besides this, regulations strongly depends on the project organisation
- Responsibility of the regulation seems to depend on the context

- Overall development in **joint enterprise** is visible
- Specifically agreement on domain and objectives develops slowly but sustained
- Particularly practical and context specific concepts and knowledge are being shared

Conclusions professional growth

- Future enactment based on reflection is especially stimulated by experiences in the own context
- However, experiences in the ETs also influence the reflection and eventually the enactment of team members. This can result in changes in:
 - perspective on the other context and their own share;
 - student performances in “the other context”;
 - student performances and feasibility;
 - obstacles possibilities in the own organisation.

Discussion

How can ETs be supported in their struggle to cross boundaries?

Please feel free to share your opinion.

I will respond with experiences and lessons learned from our project